

To: Distribution  
From: Matt Pierret, on behalf of Data Management Task Group  
Date: 12/17/80  
Subject: A framework of goals toward more complete data management capabilities on Multics.

### INTRODUCTION

This document will set forth the goals of the data management effort in Multics. Goals will be presented in phases, somewhat logically dividing the work we will set out to do. In the following sections, we will discuss the services data management should provide and the aspects of data management.

### SERVICES

The issues regarding services of data management will only be touched on briefly, and will be the subject of a subsequent MTB. However, these are the services as we see them, to give a general feel for the topic:

- ⊗ Relational interface (RBS)
- ⊗ Natural language interface (INTELLECT)
- ⊗ Command-level end-user interface (LINUS)
- ⊗ LBA tools
- ⊗ Index management
- ⊗ Forms facility
- ⊗ Macro facility
- ⊗ Core of DSA DS&R
- ⊗ Data dictionary

---

Multics project internal working documentation. Not to be reproduced or distributed outside the Multics project.

Possible extensions to the planned DBA core are:

- ⊗ Database machines
- ⊗ DBA External Access Layer
- ⊗ Conceptual schema

Some hoped for, time-permitting opportunities are:

- ⊗ IDS-like interface
- ⊗ Good report generation

### ASPECTS

With each aspect will be presented related items which in some way describe that aspect as it would be ideally, as it is currently, and in terms of goals to attempt to achieve. The goals are phased, indicating those goals are to be achieved as a set, and in what order the phases of goals can be expected to be sought after. In some cases it is not realistic to phase goals, and so are not. Goals preceded by a '\*' are considered to be more important than others.

### Reliability

#### IDEALLY

- ⊗ Data is never lost.

#### CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Data is lost on process termination
- ⊗ On user hitting quit during ESD processing
- ⊗ On ESD failure
- ⊗ On media failure

#### TARGETED GOALS

##### Phase I

- ⊗ \* Data is not lost on process termination
- ⊗ \* On quit
- ⊗ \* On system crash with successful ESD

##### Phase II

- ⊗ Data is not lost on ESD failure
- ⊗ On media failure

Recovery

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Recovery process yields a consistent, usable database in the state it was in at last commit,
- ⊗ Is automatic,
- ⊗ Is fast,
- ⊗ Does not grow in cost as the database grows,
- ⊗ Allows the use of undamaged data in the interim,
- ⊗ Supports checkpoint/rollback,
- ⊗ Supports networking

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ dump
- ⊗ save
- ⊗ reload
- ⊗ quiesce

TARGETED GOALS

- Phase I
  - ⊗ \* Recovery process leaves a consistent, usable database in same state as at the time of last commit.
- Phase II
  - ⊗ Is reasonably fast
  - ⊗ Allows use of undamaged data
  - ⊗ Does not grow in cost as the database grows
  - ⊗ Supports checkpoint/rollback
- Phase III
  - ⊗ Supports networking

Salvaging

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Detect damage ASAP
- ⊗ Damaged data is not thrown away
- ⊗ Damaged data can be analyzed logically

## CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Totally blind to existence of databases

## TARGETED GOALS

## Phase I

- ⊗ \* Able to analyze bad data logically
- ⊗ Detect damage ASAP

## Phase II

- ⊗ Localize damage
- ⊗ Invoke recovery mechanism
- ⊗ User exits in salvager

Performance

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ Competitive with best systems
- ⊗ Use of parallelism wherever possible.

## CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Not competitive

## TARGETED GOALS

## Phase I

- ⊗ \* Competitive with general purpose systems, defined here as five (5) times as fast as MIB.5 MIBS in vcpu time and possible to achieve some simple update in less than 10 i/o's on database pages and control structures, in a balanced configuration.

Capacity

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ No limits
- ⊗ No degradation of performance beyond theoretical lower limit as database grows
- ⊗ No catastrophic discontinuity as database grows

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ MADS limits: 128 relations per database  
10,000 tuples per relation  
200 attributes per model  
64 database openings

TARGETED GOALS

- Phase I
  - ⊗ MFIS limits: 1K relations per database  
100,000,000 tuples per relation  
10K attributes per model  
1K database openings
- Phase II
  - ⊗ Satisfy requirements for National Library of Medicine and IAS's TAS system; the IAS database has about 100 files of various characteristics; it has a file with 128 million records, another with 12 million records of an average length of 1K (equivalent to 48 billion bytes or 48K MSF components or 280 disk packs (451 type))

Administrative

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Dynamic meters
- ⊗ Dynamic restructuring
- ⊗ Dynamic tuning
- ⊗ Dynamic relocation
- ⊗ Placement
- ⊗ Central administration MCI MFIS/MSF

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Non-dynamic restructuring
- ⊗ Relocation by hand
- ⊗ Placement by hand

TARGETED GOALS

- Phase 1
  - ⊗ \* Documentation for administration, including tuning
  - ⊗ \* Built-in meters

Phase II

- ⊗ Dynamic restructuring
- ⊗ Dynamic relocation
- ⊗ Placement

Phase III

- ⊗ Incremental definition of databases as alternative to csmf and rmdb.

Networking

IDEALLY

- ⊗ DSA compatibility
- ⊗ Perform competitively
- ⊗ works transparent to user
- ⊗ Accounting, security, administration functions in a network environment addressed
- ⊗ Redundant storage and communication links utilized
- ⊗ Not communication link dependent
- ⊗ Network optimization available to administrator

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Nothing

TARGETED GOALS

Phase I

- ⊗ \* Know full story (networking in general and DSA plans in specific)
- ⊗ Provide internal support for distributed database system

Phase II

- ⊗ Support DSA DS&R and networking functions in general

Data independence

For a detailed definition of the various forms of data independence which follow, see DSA Data Storage & Retrieval Vol. I & II.

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Provide format independence,
- ⊗ (mixed) media independence,
- ⊗ model independence,
- ⊗ machine independence,
- ⊗ unit of measure independence,
- ⊗ view independence

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Have format independence,
- ⊗ Unit of measure independence

TARGETED GOALS

Phase I

- ⊗ Provide subset views (virtual relations)
- ⊗ synonyms

Phase II

- ⊗ (mixed) media independence

Atomicity

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Provide commit/rollback facility
- ⊗ User-defined atomicity units
- ⊗ Allow reads to be non-atomic
- ⊗ Provide checkpoint/restart
- ⊗ Provide some default atomicity

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ vfile\_ supports atomicity on single operation

TARGETED GOALS

Phase I

- ⊗ \* Provide checkpoint/restart, commit/rollback
- ⊗ \* Support atomicity over any unit (set of units)
- ⊗ Support atomicity over multiple databases

Concurrency

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ Handles maximal number of simultaneous users
- ⊗ Is competitive (performance and function-wise)
- ⊗ Not process id dependent

## CURRENTLY

- ⊗ MADS supports concurrency on a per relation basis
- ⊗ vfile\_ supports on per record, per operation basis

## TARGETED GOALS

## Phase I

- ⊗ \* Competitive
- ⊗ \* Use physical record or logical record level locking
- ⊗ \* Deadlock detection, handling

## Phase II

- ⊗ Per field level locking
- ⊗ Subset level locking

Security

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ Security granularity to field instantiation
- ⊗ Modes able to distinguish between all functions
- ⊗ Control delegatable, revocable, unsubvertable, at many levels
- ⊗ Security is a function of person and function
- ⊗ encryption

## CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Granularity to field
- ⊗ kings, ACLs
- ⊗ Is function of person
- ⊗ Encode procs

## TARGETED GOALS

## Phase I

- ⊗ Not yet sure of what direction to take on this subject



Transaction processing (TP)

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Data management works in transaction processing environment (and vice versa)
- ⊗ Not process id dependent
- ⊗ Works over networks

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Uses current transaction mode in vfile and is subject to all of its problems

TARGETED GOALS

- Phase I
- ⊗ Data management work in TP environment (and vice versa)
- ⊗ Not process id dependent
- Phase II
- ⊗ Works over network

Documentation

IDEALLY

- ⊗ Sufficient documentation in all areas.

CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Incomplete set of documentation.

TARGETED GOALS

- Phase I
- ⊗ \* Sure to document limitations at minimum.
- ⊗ Include user guides, program logic manuals, reference manuals and administrative manuals.

Accounting

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ Granularity to field instantiation
- ⊗ Consider user to be invoker
- ⊗ Consider person to be billed not necessarily invoker

## CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Nothing

## TARGETED GOALS

- ⊗ Provide hooks to be used by general accounting system

Interaction with OS

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ Operating system uses data management services
- ⊗ Data management system part of operating system
- ⊗ Casual creation of databases possible
- ⊗ All done under auspices of one single storage system

## CURRENTLY

- ⊗ Single storage system, but with much pain and misery

## TARGETED GOALS

- ⊗ Operating system should take into account special characteristics of databases

History

## IDEALLY

- ⊗ Timed versions

CURRENTLY

- \* No such facility

TARGETED GOALS

Phase I

- \* Online, usable versions

Other aspects

Some other aspects were touched upon lightly. They are not presented as goals because they were not discussed to that level of detail.

Logical integration of data will not be provided. We define logical integration as the ability to look at multiple databases of different types (e.g., IDS and FMS) as if they were one.

Data dictionary and database machine support will be provided in some as yet undetermined way. A data dictionary for Multics (Information Resource Dictionary System) is being developed now at FSO.