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This MTB describes the LRK system. LRK translates a BNF-like language description into a parser for the language. The output from LRK is a set of tables that control the operation of a parser procedure. Because these tables are lists of signed integers they can be easily transported to computers other than Multics. The parser procedure is a simple routine and versions of it have been coded in PL/I, COBOL and Assembly language. LRK has options which allow the control tables to be generated as a Multics object segment, an ALM source segment or a GMAP source segment.

The parser created by LRK (the tables along with the parser procedure) is a "bottom-up" SLR(k) algorithm that examines the input symbols in a left. to right manner, looks no more than $k$ symbols ahead, does no backtracking and halts immediately if an input symbol is not acceptable. The size of the control table and the code for the parser procedure is competetive with hand-coded methods. LRK is an expedient means to provide parsers for computer languages.

The attribute of immediate error detection is accompanied by facilities for error recovery. Because error recovery is language related, no particular scheme is imposed. The tabular form of parser provides for a variety of error analyses.

LRK requires that the user provide a description (a grammar) of the language for which a parser is desired. This also serves as a document to describe the syntax (allowable symbol arrangements) to people who will use the language. LRK assures the correspondence between what a language is published to be and the parser that "says" what the language "is".

Because of LRK's speed of operation, frequent adjustment can be made to the language description until the user is satisified. Immediate test parses can be performed to observe the operation of the parser. LRK assures that a compiler or translator will be constructed in a modular fashion (unless the user goes out of his way to do otherwise). First the parser can be developed and checked, next the scanner and finally the semantic routines. Each can be tested before being incorporated in the translator.

For comparison purposes, a version of calc was developed using LRK. The compilation and generation listings are included at the end of this MTB. This version was run against the installed one for a few cases. The execution time of the LRK version was from $98 \%$ to $144 \%$ of that of the installed calc. The bound object size of the LRK version was $64 \%$ of that of the installed one. It took $71 / 2$ hours to complete.

The following non-trivial example of the use of LRK is available for inspection on System M:
>udd>m>odf>schema>mids_tis_parse_.list
$>u d d>m>o d f>s c h e m a>m i d s \_t i s \_p a r s e-g$.list
This parses the subset of I-D- $\bar{S} / I I$ Schema Definition Language supported by Multics Integrated Data Store.
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## Glossary

rule - a description of a valid combination of symbols in a language. There may be alternatives.
production - a single valid combination of symbols. Equivalent to a rule if there are no alternatives. If a rule has $n$ alternatives, it then represents n productions.
terminal - a symbol of a language.
variable - a non-terminal of a language.
complicated terminal - a pseudo-symbol of a language. It is treated like a terminal in a grammar, but it lexically is one of a set a set of symbols; e.g., 〈integer>.

DPDA - Deterministic Push-Down Automata
EOI - end of information. This is the final terminal of an input.

## Overview

This document contains information describing Multics commands comprising the LRK system. You do not have to master all of this information to attempt a use of LRK. Various parts are of interest only after you have tried LRK and are selecting among different approaches in using LRK to aid in the implementation of a translator.

The following are typical steps taken to examine the use of lrk:

1. Prepare a sample grammar, the input to lrk. (See Source format, page 4, and Grammar format, page 5, and, e.g., ted text editor).
2. Execute lrk. (See lrk, page 8).
3. Repair the grammar if it is not acceptable (scratch head). (e.g., ted text editor).
4. Test the parser by executing lrkp, after the grammar is accepted by lrk. lrk_parse, page 9).
5. If the facilities of lrk_parse are sufficient, you then supply your semantics for that environment. If desired, write a scanner following the lrk_parse interface requirements.
6. Otherwise, you supply your semantics and scanner to match whatever interface requirements you decide on. You then generate your parser procedure with the macro (See Parser macro, page 3).
Consideration will be needed to accommodate error reporting and recovery. (See Error Recovery, page 6) Recovery can not be guaranteed to work under all circumstances or for all languages. You can anticipate a need for trade-offs and compromises.
If you require unreserved keywords, realization of the limitations of the provision from them by LRK must be understood. (See Unreserved Keywords, page 6)

Both error recovery and unreserved keywords are an extension to the context free parsing that.lrk is limited to. Use of these facilities "breaks the rules".

## Processor functions

An LRK language processor is made up of three parts:
scanner parser semantics
The SCANNER recognizes symbols in the input. It must know what the encoding of each symbol is to be, but it does not need to know the format of the parse tables.

The PARSER recognizes rules, i.e., valid combinations of symbols as defined by the grammar. It needs to know the format of the parse tables and the encoding of symbols, but it does not need to know anything about the form of these symbols.

The SEMANTICS represent the action to be taken when a rule has been recognized. It needs to know nothing about the format of the parse tables. It probably needs to know nothing about what makes up symbols.

Division of labor
The job to be done, processing a source input of a language, can be broken up in several different ways. The user makes his own decision as to which he likes.

Certain types of recognition processes can be described in the grammar (parsed) or done by the scanner. A user could write a grammar like this:


```
<symbol> ::= <letter> | <symbol> <letter> | <symbol> <digit> !
```

Then his scanner would be very simple, and would encode values for the letters and digits. This would, however, be very slow because of many rules being processed for each symbol.

Or the user could drop the first two rules and have the scanner smart enough to recognize <letter> and 〈digit>. This would parse more quickly.
Or the user could drop all three rules and have the scanner implement this directly and return an encoding for <symbol>. This is usually the best way to do it. It shortens the grammar, making it more readable. It speeds up the parse by having many less rules to works its way thru.

If a scanner recognizes a symbol <integer>, for example, there is still the choice of whether the scanner or semantics actually converts the integer string to binary.

## Source Format

The source segment can be in one of two forms:

1) grammar only control lines followed by grammar

If the first character of the segment is a "-" then it contains control lines. If not, then the grammar begins with the first character.

```
When control lines are present, they are selected from this set:
    -hash N }1\mathrm{ space separates the keyword from the N.
    -alm
    -gmap
    -t1
    -th]
    -count
    -mark X I space separates the keyword from the X.
    -sem X 1 space separates the keyword from the X.
```

```
-table X { space separates the keyword from the X.
-order t t ... This specifies the order which should be used when assigning
    encodings to terminals. The first terminal will receive 1,
    the second 2, etc. A minumum of 1 space separates the
    keyword from the first terminal. Thereafter, each terminal
    is separated by white space. This control lasts up until
    the next line which begins with a "-".
-recover t t ... This specifies terminals for skip-recovery. See Error
Recovery. The format is like -order.
-parse
This specifies that everything following the keyword in the
segment is the grammar. This must occur last in the control
portion of the segment.
The source segment is really a PL／I procedure．LRK will create a compileable segment from it by these steps．
1）Put \(/^{*}\) and \(* /\) around the control portion，if present．
2）Put \(/ *\) and＊／around each LRK rule．
3）Replace each \(\% \% \% \%\) in the semantics with a 4 －digit number of the rule which this represents．
```


## Grammar Format

A grammar consists of rules written in a BNF－like notation．Each rule can have associated semantics．The semantics represent coding which is to be executed when the rule described has been recognized．The rules have this basic form：

> <var〉 ::= 〈prod> ! <semantics>
＜var＞represents a＂variable＂（non－terminal）It must be the ends with a＂＞＂．
$::=\quad$ represents＂is defined as＂．It must be on the same line as the 〈var〉．
＜prod＞represents a production list．A production is a sequence of terminals and variables．If there is a list of them，they are separated by＂｜＂．The production list may be empty．
！represents＂end of production＂．Everything following it is semantics．This must always be present．
＜semantics＞represents the coding which is to be executed if the rule is parsed；it may be null．This cannot contain the string
＂：：＝＂
Observe some LRK detail：
1．Rule ordering is unimportant，except that the rule that defines the ＂start symbol＂must be physically first．
2．Ordering of productions（rule parts）is unimportant．
3．Each rule must be terminated by an exclaimation mark，＂！＂．It is after this mark that semantic code is placed．
4．LRK reserves the use of the symbols，＂＜＂，＂：：＝＂，＂！＂，＂＇＂and＂！＂． Spaces are not required except between adjácent terminal symbols， i．e．，＂〈0〉：：＝＋1－！＂is acceptable．
5．To specify symbols involving these reserved characters and＂space＂ characters the following escape character convention is implemented． The right apostrophe，＂\＃，signals an escaped character．It may be followed by three octal digits，whose 9－bit value specifies the Multics ASCII character desired，or if not followed（immediately）by three octal digits，whatever character does follow is the character being escaped，i．e．，＂＂and＂040＂both indicate one blank character．This escape convention causes the，restriction of the use of the right apostrophe character，i．e．，is required（or 047）to
6．Specify the＂＂character itself．
6．Variables are＂normalized＂in the following manner：Any spaces immediately after the＂＜＂bracket and immediately preceding the＂＞＂
bracket are deleted．Any internal strings of spaces are each replaced by a single space．This removes space sensitivity from variable names．＂space＂in this context refers to $\mathrm{SP}, \mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{NL}, \mathrm{FF}$ ，or VT．

The parsing of the LRK input treats all occurances of＜．．．〉 as a variable as far as normalization is concerned．However，this is not what determines its being a variable；this is done only by appearing at the beginning of a rule．Any others may be considered as＂complicated terminals＂．This means that you intend to have your scanner smart enough to know what＜integer＞is，for example．

## Unreserved keywords

LRK parsing can handle unreserved keywords in a context－free setting．In general，if each statement has an initial keyword to insure proper recognition of statements，then＜identifiers＞can include symbols which are identical to keywords．

A read state contains a list of terminal encodings in increasing order which are valid in the input at this point．When keywords are to be unreserved，you must specify one terminal as an alternative to the keywords．This is done with the －mark option．Then all keywords which are to have this as their alternative must be given encodings which are higher than the alternative．

Suppose you said：
－order＋－〈integer〉＝〈symbol＞let if
－mark＜symbol＞
Then you could recognize the statement：
let let $=$ let +1
The lookup procedure in a read table when there are unreserved keywords is this： While doing a linear search of the read table，note whether a negative terminal exists．If there is one，compare its absolute value against the current terminal．Also remember what this one is．If the search fails，but a negative（marked）terminal was found，use it．

## Error recovery

Error recovery is，in general，a very specific thing which is highly dependant on your language．It is not usually an easy thing to take care of．

One simple case is in an interactive interpretor．It can just discard the rest of the line and start in fresh on the next line．It is usually not that easy．
Two approaches have been developed along with the LRK compiler；local recovery and skip recovery．

Local recovery
Local recovery uses the current（unacceptable）input symbol and the next input symbol to simulate parses from this point up until the next state which reads a symbol．It then decides which action to take，if any．
Given：
$B$ is the current（bad）symbol
N is the next symbol
$C$ is the current state
R is the＂next＂read state
These are the conditions which can exist：


The recovery trys to find a useable combination. If one exists, it is remembered but the search does not stop. If a second one is found then the search will stop and the error message can include the fact that the recovery done was not unique. The first one found is the one used. It then adjusts the look-ahead stack by either dropping a symbol, interchanging two symbols or generating a symbol.

Skip recovery
Skip recovery requires that the user define one or more recovery terminal symbols by means of the
-recover <nil> st1 st2 ...
control included in the lrk source. st1 st2 etc. are skip terminals. They are terminals which can end statements. They cause a table to be built for skip recovery. This table is a list of all states which can read a skip terminal.

Skip recovery is done when an error has occurred and local recovery (if used) was not successful. Basically what it does is to skip forward in the source by calling the scanner until it encounters one of the skip terminals. It then looks backward in the parse stack to try to find a state which could read the found terminal. If one is found, it adjusts the lexical stack top and then procedes.

Before proceding it puts the encoding for <nil> in the look-ahead stack. If the state does not contain a use of the <nil> symbol, then it is discarded and the next symbol is used.

The <nil> symbol is one which the scanner must NEVER return. It is needed because some languages do not allow all statements to occur at every point. This means that when you back up to the last statement beginning point, you may not be allowed to have the statement you find next. As an example, take this grammar:


Then suppose that you intended to have an input like line (1) below, but instead you got (2):

When the "s" "a" ";" is encountered, local recovery will decide that "a" is extraneous and drop it. But this then means that it will miss the fact that it should be entering the <a> rule. It will then get to the "r" and local recovery will fail, necessitating another skip. In this example, skipping will occur, one statement at a time, until EOI is reached.

If the grammar had specified
-recover <nil>;
then skip recovery would skip to the next ";" and pick up where it was. But the only thing it finds in the stack is a state which can read either an "a", "b", or "s". So it will have to skip again. This means that no syntax checking is done in all of the " $r$ "'s which are skipped. This is not highly desireable.

However, if you add a rule like this:

```
<b> ::= <nil> <rd> !
```

then the generated <nil> from skip recovery will allow the <rd> to be correctly parsed, reducing the number of useless error messages by quite a bit, usually.

These <nil> rules can help parse thru misplaced statements during error recovery, but will never accept these statements under normal circumstances.

The semantics on these <nil> rules must then report an error.

| Name: lrk |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| The lrk comma | nvokes the LRK compiler to translate a segment containing the |
| text of the LRK | ce into a set of tables. A listing segment is optionally |
| produced.' Pack | forms of the tables may be requested. These results are |
| Usage: lrk segment_name -list_arg- -ctl_arg- |  |
| 1) segment_name | is the pathname of the LRK source segment containing the grammar to be processed. The entry portion of this pathname can contain an optional .lrk suffix. |
| 2) list_arg | may be one or more of the following optional arguments. If the source segment is named X.lrk, then the list segment will be named Xg.list. This is done so that if the user choses to have his semantics file named $X$.pll, the generation listing and compilation listing will not be in conflict. |
| -source -sc | produces a line-numbered listing of the rules of the grammar. No semantics are listed, only the rules. |
| -symbols -sb | produces a listing of the terminals and variables used in the grammar. |
| -list -ls | produces a "machine" listing of the DPDA resulting from the LRK execution. |
| -count -ct | produces a list of statistics about the tables. This will go to user output if no other option is present which provides a list segment. |
| -term | produces a listing of the terminals in encoding order, showing the encoding. |
| -ss | produces source and symbols. |
| -ssl | produces source, symbols, and list. |
| 3) ctl_arg | may be one or more of the following optional arguments. |
|  | produces a semantics file named $X$. $X$ must have $a$.pl1 suffix. |
| -mark X | mark terminal X (see Unreserved keywords) |
| -hash N | set the hash value of the variable and terminal tables to N. |
| -table X | produces a table named $X$ (with all suffixes removed) and an include file named $X$ (with the supplied suffix). At present the only suffix supported is .incl.pl1. Unless this argument is supplied, the arguments below (-tl, etc.) are meaningless. The default is to produce the table as a Multics object segment. |
| -tl | include the terminals list in the table. |
| -thl | include the terminals list and terminals hash list in the table. |
| -alm | produce the table as an alm segment $X$.alm. $X$ is the name supplied in the -table parameter less all suffixes. |
| -gmap | produce the table as a gmap segment X.gmap. |

Options -alm and -gmap may occur together.

Names: lrk_parse, lrkp
The lrk_parse command provides a means for testing an lrk produced parser table. This program is an adequate parser in many applications.

Usage: lrk_parse grammar_name -source- -ctl_args-

1) grammar_name
2) source
3) ctl_arg
-sem E is the entryname of a semantics procedure which corresponds to the grammar. The default semantics do nothing.
-scan $E \quad$ is the entryname of a scanner procedure which corresponds to the grammar. The default scanner is explained below.
-trace causes a trace of the parsing and error recovery action to be printed.
-print causes each line from source to be printed (with linenumber) before starting to scan it. This is true of the default scanner. If a user scanner is supplied, then it determines whether or not printing is available.

## Scanner/Semantics

lrk_parse supplies a scanner procedure and a semantics procedure. The user can supply his own. This is how these procedures are used. User routines must have these interfaces.

1) The semantics routine is called each time action is required. The supplied semantics routine does nothing.

Usage:
dcl E entry(fixed bin(24), fixed bin(24), ptr,fixed bin(24));
call E (rulen,altn,addr(lex_stack),ls_top);
rulen is the number of the rule completed
altn is which rule alternative was used
ls_top is the location in the lexical stack corresponding to the rightmost rule alternative symbol.

The values in lex_stack should not be modified.
2) The scanner contains an initialization entry point. It is called once, to begin the parse. It allows the scanner to get the input information and to do any initialization necessary.

## Usage：

dcl E\＄init entry（ptr，fixed bin（24），bit（1））；
call E\＄init（input，leng，prsw）；
input is a pointer to the source segment if leng is non－zero．Otherwise，it points to an empty temporary segment．If the user choses to read from user input when source is not supplied，he should append each line read to this segment（values in the lex＿stack may reference more than the current line）．
prsw is＂1＂b if the－print option was specified，otherwise it is＂0＂b．
leng is the length in bytes of the source segment $O R$ is zero if source was not specified．

3）The scanner also contains a get－next－symbol entry．It is called each time another symbol is needed．It must return an encoding of zero when end－of－information（EOI）is reached．

## Usage：

dcl E\＄E entry（ptr fixed bin（24））；
call $\mathrm{E} \$ \mathrm{E}$（stkp，puti）；
stkp is a pointer to the lexical stack．The stack declaration is in lrkstk．incl．pli．It specifies that the stack is based on a variable named＂stkp＂．
putl is the location in the stack to put the symbol information．
The scanner must set these fields：
stk．symptr（putl）points to the beginning of the found symbol．
stk．symlen（puti）length in bytes of found symbol（may be zero）．
stk．line（putl）linenumber where symbol begins．
stk．symbol（puti）encoding for the found symbol．
These fields may be set：
stk．ptr1（putl）pointer to user data
stk．ptr2（putl）pointer to user data
The default scanner algorithm is this：
1．During initialization，the terminals are separated into 2 lists． One list contains all the terminals that consist only of alphanumeric characters．The other contains all the rest，sorted by decreasing length．

However，the special terminals＂＜string〉＂，＂＜integer〉＂，and＂〈symbol＞＂ are looked for．These are built in＂complicated terminals＂．
2．At get－next－symbol time，if an alphanumeric string exists，then it is taken as a single token．This token is compared against the list of alphanumeric terminals in the grammar．If one is found，that encoding value is returned．The fact that the whole alphanumeric string is compared against the terminal list means，for example，that a label ＂dclnam＂will not be mistakenly taken as the terminal＂dcl＂．
If no terminal in the list matches，then if the token is all numeric characters and the terminal＂＜integer＞＂exists in the grammar，this encoding is returned．

Otherwise，if the terminal＂＜symbol＞＂exists in the grammar，this encoding is returned．
If an alphanumeric string is not present in the input，then if the first character is a＂and the terminal＂＜string＞＂is present in the grammar， a PL／I style string is scanned off and the proper encoding is returned． Otherwise，the second list of terminals is searched，taking the length of each terminal to determine the amount of input to Iook at．If a match is found，then the encoding for it is returned．Remember that this list is ordered by decreasing length．This method of comparison means，for example，that if both $">="$ and $">"$ are terminals，the first will always be found if it exists in the input．

If neither if the lists contained a match at this point in the input, then the scanner moves ahead one character and tries again. If the character skipped is $<=1040$, it is dropped without comment.
stk. symptr(puti) is always set to point to the first character of the symbol which satisfied the scan. If "<symbol>", "<integer>", or "〈string>" is processed then stk. symlen(puti) is set to the length of the input string which was used; otherwise stk.symlen(putl) is set to zero.

EOI is returned when the end of an input segment is reached, or when a line is read from user_input consisting of "EOI" only.

## Parser macro

The lrk system has available a macro which can generate a skeleton parser. Once this parser is obtained, then it may be tailored to the individual application. The tailoring actually begins during the generation, at which time many options are available to dictate what will be obtained. This "macro" is processed by runoff.

Figure 1. shows what a parse procedure generally looks like. However, it fleshes out quite a bit when you add things like look-ahead processing, error recovery of one or two kinds, and error reporting. The macro helps in this process. To generate a parser, you must create a segment X.runoff. It has this form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { [ if lrk_skel } \\
\text { :sr XXX_YYY }] \\
\text {.if lrk_skel }
\end{gathered}
$$

The first call to lrk_skel sets the default values in some variables. Then you

```
initialize
do while (^EOI);
        if READ state then do;
            enEer state number into parse stack
            if look-ahead stack empty
            then call scanner; /* puts to look-ahead stack */
            look in read-table for 1st look-ahead symbol
            if not found then ERROR
            set next state from read-table
            if look-ahead transition
        then delete 1 state from parse stack
        else move symbol from look-ahead stack
                to lex stack
    end;
    else if LOOK state then do; /* look ahead n */
        do untiI n symbols in look-ahead stack;
                call scanner; /* put to look-ahead stack */
        end;
        look in look-table for n'th look-ahead symbol
        if not found then ERROR
        set next state from look-table
    end;
    else if APPLY_state then do;
        call semäntics
        delete necessary symbols from lex stack
        delete necessary states from parse stack
        look in apply-table for top stacked state
        set next state from apply-table
    end;
end;
```

Figure 1. Generalized parse procedure.
adjust any of these values you wish. The second call to lrk skel generates the parser, directed by values in the variables. The resulf is a segment named X.incl.pl1.

If the segment is named X.runoff then the output segment will be named X.incl.pll and the parse procedure therein will be named $X$. Following are the variables which control the generation; they show the variable name and the default value.
.sr parameters ""
The value of this variable is any parameters wanted on the parse procedure. Example: "sptr,slen"

This controls the inclusion of "dbesw" trace coding and names the switch to control it. The declaration precedes the proc statement. If the value is "" then no trace coding is included.

```
.sr lex_stack_incl ""
    .sr ls attr "based"
```

These specify things about the lexical stack include file.
lex stack_incl is the name of the include file to be generated, without the ". incl.pl1". It also is the level 1 name of the structure generated. If the value is "" then no include file is generated.
ls_attr is the attributes wanted on the structure in the include file.

| r | lex_stack |
| :---: | :---: |
| .sr | ls_dim |
| sr | 1s_top |
|  | 1s_dc11 |
| Sr | ls_dcl2 |
| sr | 1s_dcl3 |
| sr | 1s_dcl4 |
| sr | 1s_dcl5 |
| sr | 1s_del6 |
|  | ls_dcl7 |
|  | 1s_dcl8 |
|  | 1s_dcl9 |

"lex_stack"
50
50
1 s
. sr scanner
.sr sc_irgs
se
"scanner"
.sr sc_args
" "
These specify things about the scanner procedure.
scanner is the name of the scanner to be called.
sc_args is the arguments to be passed to it.
scincl is the name of an include file which contains the scanner. If this is specified, then an $\%$ include statement will be generated inside the parser. Then the lexical stack will be available without any include file or parameter passing necessary.

```
.sr semantics "semantics"
.sr sem args "rulen,altn"
sr sem_incl
```

These specify things about the semantics procedure.
semantics is the name of the semantics procedure to be called when an apply is done.
sem_args is the arguments to be passed to it. The default is to pass the rule number and alternative number of the apply being done.
sem incl is the name of an include file which contains the semantics procedure. If this is specified, then an \%include statement will be generated inside the parser.
.sr skip_recover \%true\%
This determines whether or not the skip recovery mechanism is included in the parser.
skip_recover may be set \%false\% if not needed.
.sr max_recover 0
This is the upper limit on the number of local recoveries which can occur in a row. If zero, then no local recovery coding will be generated.

After this macro source is prepared it is processed by executing runoff X -sm; dl X.runout
This will cause X.incl.pli and optionally xx.incl.pli'(stack declaration) to be created.

## Sample usage of LRK

This example demonstrates the implementation of an online interpreter of logical expressions.

With the text editor (e.g., ted) create a segment log.lrk as in Figure 2. Then execute
lrk log -source -symbols -terms
to check it out. This is a useable grammar. Note on the 2nd line that a "I" is wanted in the language and so must be entered as " 1 . On the 6th line, however, the "I" is the LRK "or" operator.

At this point you could try out the language to see if it indeed describes what you think it should. If you execute
lrk_parse log -trace
it will type LRKP (2.0) and then wait for you to type a statement. If you reply something like:


Figure 2. Basic log.lrk (grammar only)

$$
{ }^{\wedge}(X|X|(X \& X \& X)) \& X
$$

you will see a trace of the parsing action. It will stop when it reaches the end of the line. You then reply

EOI
to signal end-of-input and the trace will complete.
The trace will be made up of things like

* 56 APLY $(-31) \operatorname{pd}=11 \mathrm{~d}=0(19)$

The first number on the line is the state number; if preceded by a "*" it means it was stacked (parse stack). The number pair following APLY is the rule/alternative being applied. If the rule is negative, then no semantics exist for it. "pd=1" means 1 element is deleted from the parse stack. "ld=0" mens 0 elements are deleted from the lexical stack. The list of numbers inside tha second " ()" s tell the states which are deleted from the parse stack.
The " |" following the READ is the symbol read. If it is followed by a quoted string, this is the string in the source which is scanned as the named symbol.

You decide you need your own parser; the skeleton of one can be generated with the macro. You decide that you need an entry in the lex stack to hold the bit value of the result. You then create a macro input segment as in Figure 3, and then execute

```
                                    rf log_parse_ -sm; dl log_parse_.runout
```

to get log parse_.incl.pl1, your parse procedure.
You then build the rest of your semantics procedure around the grammar that you

```
.if Irk skel
    .sr ls dcl\ "val bit(1)"
    .if lrk_skel
```

Figure 3. Macro input, log_parse_.runoff
know is acceptable to LRK. This gives a source which looks like Figure 4 . Now you run LRK again with
lrk log -source
This gives a listing file because of the -source option in the command call, and a semantics include file because of the -sem option in the source.
In the semantics include file, you will notice that the $\% \% \% \%^{\prime}$ s have been replaced with 4 -digit numbers, and since this is an incl.pl1 file all rules have been converted to PL/I comments. This is done in such a way that the semantics

```
-sem log.incl.pl1
-parse
semantics: proc (rulen,alt);
dcl rule fixed bin, /* rule being applied */
        alt fixed bin; /* alternate being applied */
        goto rule(rulen);
<log> ::= <or> !
rule(%%%%):
        call ioa_("result is "1b",lex_stack.val(ls_top));
<or> return;:=<or> ' | <and> !;
rule(%%%%):
    lex_stack.val(ls_top-2) = lex_stack.val(ls_top-2)
    return;
<or> <and> ::= <and> ! <and> & <not> !;
rule(%%%%):
    lex_stack.val(ls_top-2) = lex_stack.val(ls_top-2)
                                    & lex__stack.val(ls_top);
    return;
<and> ::= <not>!
<not> ::= < <bit> | <bit> !
rule(%%%%)
    if (alt = 1) then
        lex_stack.val(ls_top-1) = ^ lex_stack.val(ls_top);
        return;
<bit> ::= X !;
<bit> ::= (家) ) !
rule(%%%%):
        lex_stack.val(ls_top-2) = lex_stack.val(ls_top-1);
        return;
end;
```

Figure 4. Completed log.1rk
file line numbers and source file line numbers are identical．Figure 5 ，is this generated include file．
The listing file，Figure 6，does not show all of the source；only the rules． The line numbers are，however，correct．You will notice that some of the rules

```
/* -sem log.incl.pl1
semantics: proc (rulen,alt);
dcl rule fixed bin, /* rule being applied */
    goto rule(rulen);
/*<log> ::= <or> ! */
rule(0001):
    call ioa_("result is `1b",lex_stack.val(ls_top));
    return;
/* <or> : := <or> '| <and> ! */;
rule(0002):
    lex_stack.val(ls_top-2) = lex_stack.val(ls_top-2)
    return;
/* <or> ::= <and> ! */
/* <and> ::= <and> & <not> ! */;
rule(0004):
    lex_stack.val(ls_top-2) = lex_stack.val(ls_top-2)
    return;
```



```
rule(0006):
    if (alt = 1) then
    lex_stack.val(ls_top-1) = ^ lex_stack.val(ls_top);
    return;
/*<bit\rangle ::= X ! < */; ) ! */
rule(0008):
    lex_stack.val(ls_top-2) = lex_stack.val(ls_top-1);
    return;
end;
```

Figure 5．log．incl．pl1

|  | GENERATION LISTING OF SEGMENT logProcessed by：LRK 2.1 of 18 June 1976Processed on： $06 / 18 / 76 \quad 1720.8 \mathrm{mst}$ FriOptions：－source |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | ＜log＞ | ：：＝＜or＞！ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \langle o r\rangle \\ & \text { <or> } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ::=\text { <or〉 } \\ & ::=\text { <and> } \end{aligned}$ | 〈and〉 ! ; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 〈and> } \\ & \text { 〈and> } \end{aligned}$ | ：：：＝＜and＞\＆ | <not> !; |
| 28 | ＜not＞ | ：：＝～＜bit＞ | ｜＜bit＞！ |
| 34 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \langle\text { bit〉 } \\ & \langle\mathrm{bit}\rangle \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ::=X \text { X } ; \\ & :=\text { (or> }) \end{aligned}$ | $!$ |

Figure 6．logg．list
are double spaced and some are single spaced. There is a convention which allows you to control this. The character following the semantic separator, "!", is included in the listing. If this character is a NL, as in line 10 or 27, then an empty line will follow it. If this character is a ";", as in line 14 or 34 , then there is no empty line following.
Notice that the alternative on line 28 uses the "!" form. This means that the alternative number must be used to determine what portion of the semantics to do;
The alternative on lines 21 and 27 use the multiple definition form. Since each of the definitions is a separate rule, then the alternative number need not be checked (it is always 1).
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```
            GENERATION LISTING OF SEGMENT calc
            Processed by: LRK 2.0e of 11 June T976
            Processed on: 06/24/76 1125.3 mst Thu
            Options: -ssl -term -ct
                    <calc\rangle ::= <line...> q <nl> | q <nl> !
                    <line...> ::= <line> !;
                    <line...> ::= <line...> <line> !
<line> ::= list <nl> !;
<line> 
<nl\rangle ::= '012 !
<exp\rangle : :=\langleexp\rangle +\langleterm\rangle !;
<exp> : ::= <exp> --<
<term> ::= <term> * <pwr> !;
<term> ::= <term> / <pwr> !;
<term> ::= <pwr> !
<pwr> :::=\langlefactor> !
<factor\rangle ::= <ref\rangle !;
```



```
<ref\rangle : := <real> !; 
<ref> ::= <symbol> !;
<ref> ::= sin (<exp>
\langleref\rangle ::= sin
<ref\rangle 
\langleref\rangle ::= atan (< <exp\rangle) )!;
<ref\rangle <ref\rangle ::= ln (< <exp\rangle ) !i;
```

）

TERMINALS USED


## CODE

－－－－－－－－－－－REFERENCES－－－－－－－－－－－－


VARIABLES USED
〈cale〉
〈exp＞
〈factor＞
$\langle$ line．．．
＜line．．．
〈line＞
＜pwr＞
＜ref＞
＜term＞

| -1 | def | 32 | 32 | ref |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -5 | def | 57 | 62 | 67 | ref | 45 | 50 | 57 | 62 | 96 | 107 | 112 |
| -8 | 117 | 122 | 127 | 132 | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -2 | def | 85 | 86 | 91 | 96 | ref | 79 | 84 |  |  |  |  |
| -3 | def | 36 | 37 | ref | 32 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -4 | def | 38 | 45 | 50 | ref | 36 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -7 | def | 56 | ref | 32 | 32 | 38 | 45 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| -9 | def | 79 | 84 | ref | 68 | 73 | 78 | 79 |  |  |  |  |
| -6 | def | 101 | 102 | 107 | 112 | 117 | 122 | 127 | 132 | 137 | ref | 85 |
| -6 | def | 61 | 68 | 73 | 78 | ref | 57 | 62 | 67 | 68 | 73 |  |

DPDA LISTING

000019-> 000068 000020-> 000070

```
READ "sin"
READ "tan"
```

[ 47] 000000 000009
000001 -> 000139
000002-> 000025
000014 -> 000058
$000015->000060$
000016-> 000062
000017 -> 000064
000018-> 00006
000019-> 00006
000020-> 000070
57] 000002000047
8] $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000007->000001 \\ & 000152\end{aligned}$
000007-> 000152 READ " ("
60] 000000000001
000007-> 000153
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}02] & 000000 \\ 000007-> & 000001 \\ 000154\end{array}\right.$
[ 64] $000000 \quad 000001$
000007-> 000155 READ " ("
66] $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000007->000001\end{aligned} \quad$ READ "("
68] 000000 000001 READ " ("
70] $\begin{array}{lll}000000 \\ 000007-> & 000001 \\ 000158\end{array}$ READ "("
READ "EOI"
READ "<symbol>"
READ "<real>"
READ "list"
READ " $q$ "
READ " ""
READ "+"
READ "abs"
READ "atan"
READ "cos"
READ "ln"
READ "log"


92] 000000000003 000008-> 000163 $\begin{array}{ll}000009->000164 \\ 000000 & 000006\end{array}$ 000005->-000266

000008->-000266 000009->-000266 $000011->000169$ 000013->-000266 000005 ->-000279 000008->-000279 000010->-000279 000011->-000279 $000012->000170$ $000013->-000279$
$000004 \quad 000005$ 000000000000 00015 - 000103 000168-> 000220 000169-> 000228

7000004000004 0000000000
$\begin{array}{ll}-000016 & 000001 \\ 000000-> & 000111\end{array}$ 000170-> 000236

## $000159->000203$

$131000171->000239$
131000004000004
000001000001 Ad
000004000001 rule/alt
000074 -> 00016
000074 -> 000160
136000005000072 APPLY 1
000001000001 pd Id
000001000002 rule/alt
139000006000025 APPLY SHR 000000000000 pd Id 000021000001 rule/alt
[ 142] 000000000003
000008-> 000163 READ "+"
000009-> 000164 READ "-"
$14600013->$
000172 READ ")"
000006000117
APPLY SHR
$\begin{array}{lll}000001 & 000001 \\ 000017 & 000001 & \mathrm{pd} \text { ruld } \\ \end{array}$
149000006000117 APPLY SHR 000001000001 000018000001 rule/alt
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}152 \\ 153\end{array}\right] 000002000034 \quad$ SHARE
[ 154] 000002000034 SHARE
[ 155] 000002000034 SHARE
[ 156] 000002000034 SHARE
[ 157] 000002000034 SHARE
[ 158] 000002000034 SHARE
[ 159] 000002000031 SHARE
160000005000074 APPLY 000001000001 pd Id
-000003 000001 rule/alt
[ 163] 000002000034 SHARE
[ 164] 000002000034 SHARE

|  | 165 | $\begin{aligned} & 000006 \\ & 000001 \\ & 000006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000131 \\ & 000001 \\ & 000001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APPLY SHR } \\ & \text { pd ld } \\ & \text { rule/alt } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ | 168] | 000002 | 000034 | SHARE |
| [ | 169] | 000002 | 000034 | SHARE |
| [ | 170] | 000002 | 000034 | SHARE |
| [ | 171] | 000002 | 000092 | SHARE |
|  | 172 | $\begin{aligned} & 000006 \\ & 000002 \\ & 000019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000117 \\ & 000002 \\ & 000001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APPLY SHR } \\ & \text { pd 1d } \\ & \text { rule/alt } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 175] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 000013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 179] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 000013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 183] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 000013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 187] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 00013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 191] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 000013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 195] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 000013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
| [ | 199] | $\begin{aligned} & 000000 \\ & 000008-> \\ & 000009-> \\ & 000013-> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000003 \\ & 000163 \\ & 000164 \\ & 000260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { READ "+" } \\ & \text { READ "-" } \\ & \text { READ ")" } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 203 | 000005 | 000072 | APPLY 1 |



| 245 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 000003 | 000003 | pd ld |
|  | 000025 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 248 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000003 | pd ld |
|  | 000023 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 251 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000003 | pd 1d |
|  | 000027 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 254 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000003 | pd ld |
|  | 000028 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 257 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000003 | pd 1d |
|  | 000022 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 260 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000003 | pd ld |
|  | 000024 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 263 | 000006 | 000025 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000001 | 000000 | pd 1 d |
|  | 000021 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 266 | 000004 | 000012 | APPLY |
|  | 000001 | 000000 | pd ld |
|  | -000010 | 000001 | rule/alt |
|  | 000000-> | 000092 |  |
|  | 000034-> | 000142 |  |
|  | 000122-> | 000171 |  |
|  | 000152-> | 000175 |  |
|  | 000153-> | 000179 |  |
|  | 000154 -> | 000183 |  |
|  | $000155->$ | 000187 |  |
|  | 000156-> | 000191 |  |
|  | 000157 -> | 000195 |  |
|  | $000158->$ | 000199 |  |
| 279 | 000004 | 000005 | APPLY |
|  | 000001 | 000000 | pd ld |
|  | -000013 | 000001 | rule/alt |
|  | 000000-> | 000096 |  |
|  | 000163-> | 000206 |  |
|  | 000164-> | 000213 |  |
| 285 | 000006 | 000266 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000002 | pd 1d |
|  | 000008 | 000001 | rule/alt |


| 288 | 000006 | 000266 | APPLY SHR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 000003 | 000002 | pd ld |
|  | 000009 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 291 | 000006 | 000279 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000002 | pd ld |
|  | 000012 | 000001 | rule/alt |
| 294 | 000006 | 000279 | APPLY SHR |
|  | 000003 | 000002 | pd ld |
|  | 000011 | 000001 | rule/alt |

```
COMPILATION LISTING OF SEGMENT lcalc
Compiled by: Multics PL/I Compiler Felease 20e, of May 22, 1976
compiled on: 06/24/76 1242.8 mst Thu
    Options: map table
lcalc: proc;
/* version of calc using LRK */
del 1 sym_(200),
2 name char(8),
dcl }1\mathrm{ sym based like sym i
dcl parenct fixed bin(24);
dcl ifile char(200); char(1)unal defined (ifile)
dcl iflln char(1) unal def
lll
dcl ife num fixed bin
dcl sym_num fixed bin(24);
dcl TLanl fixed bin(24) int static init(9);
dcl TLan(9) fixed bin}24 int static init init}3; ; ; 14,15,16,17,18,19,20);
dcl (llstl (9) fixed bin{24
sym_num = 2; \; = "pi";
sym_name(1)="pi";
sym_\cdotname(2) = "e";
ifln = 0;
retry:
parenct = 0;
ifi = 1;
call caic_p;
return;
error:
call ioa_("^a",msg);
goto retry;
dcl msg char(100)var;
dcl ioa_ entry options(variable);
dcl 1 calc_t_$TL ext static,
2 TLSize 
3(pt,in) fixed bin(17)unal;
```



```
    la_need = 1; get
    goto read_look;
4 1
```


## J

```
CASE (2): /* Stack and Shared read */ (current_table); /* . . . */
    la_need = 1;
    la_use = la_get;
    if
    then signal condition (pst\overline{k}ovflo);
dcl pstk_ovflo condition;
    ps_top = ps_top+1; % / N Top of parsing stack. */
```



```
read_look:
    do while (la_ct < la_need); /* make sure enough symbols are available */
```



```
    end;
    test_symbol = 1stk.symbol (-la_use);
    lb = current_table+1;
    ub = current_table+DPDA.v2 (current_table);
    ub = current table+b;
        m}=(\mathrm{ divide (ub+lb, 2, 24, 0); (m)
        then do;
                next_state = DPDA.v2 (m);
                goto got_symbol;
            end;(DPDA.v1 (m) < test_symbol)
            then lb = m+1;
            then lb = m+1;
    end;
    if (test_symbol ^ = 5)
    then parenct = 0;
    msg = errmsg(sign(parenct));
    goto error;
dcl errmsg(-1:1) char(16)int static init(
"too many "missing operator",
"missing oper;
got_symbol:
    current_state = next_state;
    if (cur\overline{rent_state < 可) then do; /* Transition is a look-ahead state. */}
    end;
        current_state = -current_state;
        do; (1s top = hbound (1stk 1))
    iff(ls top = hbound (lstk, 1))
dcl lstk_ovflo condition;
```

```
                lstk \(\left(l s \_t o p\right)=1\) stk \((-1\) a_get \() ;\)
la_get \(=\) mod \((\) la_get, - lbound \((1 s t k, 1))+1 ;\)
la_ct \(=1 a \_c t-1 ;\)
                lstk \(\left(l s \_t o p\right)=1\) sta \((-1\) a_get \() ;\)
la_get \(=\) mod \((\) la_get, -lbound \((1 s t k, 1))+1 ;\)
la_ct \(=1 a \_c t-1 ;\)
end;
got NEXT;
```



```
/* Apply state.
/* Apply single */
```




```
\(\begin{array}{ll}104 & \text { la need }=1 ; \\ 105 & \text { rule }=\text { DPDA }\end{array}\)
rule \(=\) DADA.
alt 1 (current table \(=2) ;\)
rule \(=\) DPDA.v1 (current table +2\() ;\)
alt \(=\) DPDA.v2 \((\) current_Eable +2\() ;\)
if (rulen > 0) then do;
            call semantics (rulen, alt);
end;
```



```
\(\begin{array}{ll}110 & \text { ps_top }=p s \text { top-DPDA.v1 } \\ 111 & \text { stop }=1 s \text { current } \\ 112 & \text { if (DPDA.v1 (current_state) } \\ 113 & \text { current }\end{array}\)
then do;
        current state \(=\) DPDA.v2 (current_table);
        goto NEXT;
    end;
    if (DPDA.v1 (current_state) = 6)
    then do;
        current_table = DPDA.v2 (current_table);
end
        \(=\) current_tablee+4 to current table+DPDA.v2 (current_table);
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { do } 1=\text { current } \\ & \text { if (DPDA. } \bar{v} 1(i) \\ & \text { then }\end{aligned}\)
            current state \(=\) DPDA.v2 (i);
            goto NEXT;
        end;
    current state \(=\) DPDA.v2 (current_table +3 );
    soto NEXT;
decl 1 lstk ( \(-4: 50\) )
2 symptr per
                                    /*-4:-1 is the look-ahead stack (FIFO) */
/* 1:50 is the lexical stack (LIFO) *//
/* pointer to symbol (must be valid) */
/* length of symbol (may be 0) */
/* line where symbol begins */
/* encoding of symbol
                                    /*-4:-1 is the look-ahead stack (FIFO) */
/* 1:50 is the lexical stack (LIFO) *//
/* pointer to symbol (must be valid) */
/* length of symbol (may be 0) */
/* line where symbol begins */
/* encoding of symbol
                                    /*-4:-1 is the look-ahead stack (FIFO) */
/* 1:50 is the lexical stack (LIFO) *//
/* pointer to symbol (must be valid) */
/* length of symbol (may be 0) */
/* line where symbol begins */
/* encoding of symbol
2 symlen fixed bin (24)
                                    /*-4:-1 is the look-ahead stack (FIFO) */
/* 1:50 is the lexical stack (LIFO) *//
/* pointer to symbol (must be valid) */
/* length of symbol (may be 0) */
/* line where symbol begins */
/* encoding of symbol
                                    /*-4:-1 is the look-ahead stack (FIFO) */
/* 1:50 is the lexical stack (LIFO) *//
/* pointer to symbol (must be valid) */
/* length of symbol (may be 0) */
/* line where symbol begins */
/* encoding of symbol
2 line fixed bin (24)
2 symbol fixed bin (24)
                                    /*-4:-1 is the look-ahead stack (FIFO) */
/* 1:50 is the lexical stack (LIFO) *//
/* pointer to symbol (must be valid) */
/* length of symbol (may be 0) */
/* line where symbol begins */
/* encoding of symbol
```



```
2
2
2
2
dalumol fix e fixed bin
def
Nu
```



```
, 2 def tr
140
141
ド
end;
;
\(\begin{array}{lll}135 & , & 2 \\ 136 & & 2 \\ 136 & , & 2 \\ 13 & \text { v } \\ 38 & , & 2\end{array}\)
                            pr
fixed bin (24)
med bin (24)
fixed bin (24)
float bin (27)
                                \%
```



```
105
106
107
107
108
109
108
109
    end
```




```
                        do;
42 decl is top fixed bin (24);
                    )
\(\begin{array}{ll}42 \text { decl } & \text { is top fixed bin (24); } \\ 43 \text { decl cur_lex top } 100 \text { fixed bin (24); }\end{array}\)
/* location of top of lexical stack */
```



```
alt fixed bin (24);
/* current lex top
/* parse stack */
/* APPLY alternati
* parse stack */p stack (with parse_stack) */
/* APPLY alternative number
current state fixed bin (24);
/* APPLY alternative number */
number of current state */
encoding of current symbol *//
144 dcl
145 del
145 dol alton fixed bin (24);
146 dol current state fixed bin
147 del test_symbol fixed bin (24);
```

ลे000000

```
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M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
M,
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M,
M,
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/* BEGIN INCLUDE FILE ..... calc_s.incl.pl1 ..... 06/24/76 J Falksen */
scanner: proc;
    end;
```

```
#
end;
```



```
22 -table calc t incl.p
-parse*/F proc(rulen,altn);
dcl rulen fixed bin(24),
    altn fixed bin(24);
    goto rule(rulen);
    /*<calc\rangle ::=\langleline...> q <nl> | q <nl> ! */
    rule(0001):
        goto done_parse;
    /*<line...> ::= <line> ! */;
```



```
    mule(0004)::= list <nl> ! */;
39 rule(0004):
    end; call ioa_("8a = "f",sym_.name(i),sym_.val(i));
    end;
    return;
    /* \langleline\rangle : := \langlesymbol\rangle = \langleexp\rangle\langlenl\rangle ! */;
    rule(0005):
        lstk.def(ls_top-3)-> sym.val = lstk.value(ls_top-1);
        return;
    /*\langleline\rangle ::= <exp> <nl> ! */
    rule(0006):
        call ioa_("= "f",lstk.value(ls_top-1));
        return;
        char15 char(17);
    dcl char15 char
    /*\langleexp\rangle ::= \langleexp\rangle + <term> ! */;
    rule(0008):
        istk.value(ls_top-2) = lstk.value(ls_top-2) + lstk.value(ls_top);
        return;
    /* <exp\rangle ::= \langleexp\rangle - \langleterm> ! */;
    rule(0009):
        Istk.value(ls_top-2) = lstk.value(ls_top-2) - lstk.value(1s_top);
        return;
    /* <exp> ::= <term> ! */
    /* <term> ::= <term> * \langlepwr> ! */;
    rule(0011):
                        Istk.value(ls_top-2) = lstk.value(ls_top-2) * lstk.value(ls_top);
        return;
    /* <term> ::= <term> / <pwr> ! */;
```

```
    lstk.value(ls_top-2) = lstk.value(ls_top-2) / lstk.value(ls_top);
    return;
/* <term> ::= \langlepwr> ! */
/*<<pwr> ::= < <pwr> ***< <actor> ! */;
rule(0014):
    istk.value(ls_top-2) = lstk.value(ls_top-2) ** lstk.value(ls_top);
    return;
/* <pwr>
    ::= <factor> ! */
```



```
rule(0017)
    istk.value(ls_top-1) = lstk.value(ls_top);
    return;
/*<factor> ::= - <ref> ! */;
rule(0018): istk.value(ls_top-1) = - lstk.value(ls_top);
    return;
/*<factor> ::= (\langleexp\rangle ) ! */
rule(0019)
    Istk.value(ls_top-2) = lstk.value(ls_top-1);
    return;
/*<ref> : := <real> ! */*;
rule(0021):
    istk.value(ls_top) = lstk.def(ls_top)->sym.val;
    return;
/* <ref> ::= sin ( <exp> ) ! */;
rule(0022)
    lstk.value(ls_top-3) = sin(lstk.value(ls_top-1));
    return;
/* <ref>
    ::= cos (\langleexp\rangle) ! */;
rule(0023):
    istk.value(ls_top-3) = cos(lstk.value(ls_top-1));
    return;
/*<ref> (0)::= tan ( <exp>) ! */;
rule(0024)
    istk.value(ls_top-3) = tan(lstk.value(ls_top-1));
    return;
/*<ref> :
    ::= atan (\langleexp>) ! */;
    istk.value(ls_top-3) = atan(lstk.value(ls_top-1));
    return;
/* <ref\rangle ::= abs (\langleexp>) ! */;
```

```
4 4 128 rule(0026) istk.value(1s_top-3) = abs(lstk.value(ls_top-1));
/*<ref> )::= ln (<exp>) ! #/;
rule(0027)
                                istk.value(1s_top-3) = log(lstk.value(ls_top-1));
                                return;
/* <ref> :::= log ( <exp> ) ! */
rule(0028):
    istk.value(ls_top-3) = log10(1stk.value(1s_top-1));
                        return;
end;
            end;
    /* END INCLUDE FILE ..... calc_p.incl.pl1 ..... */
46 **
47 end;
```

INCLUDE FILES USED IN THIS COMPILATION.

| LINE | NUMBER | NAME |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 44 | 1 | calc_t_incl.pl1 |
| 46 | 2 | calc_p.incl.pl1 |
| $2-163$ | 3 | calc_sincl.pI1 |
| $2-164$ | 4 | calc_incl.pl1 |

## PATHNAME

>udd>m>jaf>cur>calc_t_.incl.pl1
>udd>m>jaf>cur>calc_p.incl. pl1
>udd>m>jaf>cur>calc_s.incl.pl1
>udd>m>jaf>cur>calc_. incl.pl1

